Evaluation of glyphosate programs in corn. Abendroth, Julie A., Alex R. Martin, and Jess J. Spotanski. A field study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy and crop response of herbicide programs in conventionally tilled, glyphosate tolerant corn. A randomized complete block design with three replications per treatment was utilized. The study was conducted on a Kennebec silt loam with 2.4% organic matter and a pH of 6.9. Seedbed preparation consisted of disking prior to planting and one field cultivation the day of planting. Individual plots consisted of six 30-inch rows, each 30 feet long. 'Dekalb 6017 RR' corn was planted May 16 at a population of 24,200 seeds/acre. Treatments were applied with a tractor-mounted sprayer traveling 3.0 mph. Application, crop, weed, and weather data are presented:

Date Treatment Sprayer	May 16 PRE	June 10 EPOST	June 14 MPOST	
gpa	15	15	15	
psi	30	30	30	
Temperature (°F)				
Air	66	78	66	
Soil (4 inch)	61	79	66	
Soil Moisture	Adequate	Dry	Dry	
Wind (mph)	8	11	7	
Sky (% cloudy)	95	95	5	
Relative Humidity (%)	45	76	57	
Precip. after appl.				
Week 1 (inch)	0.51	0.08	0.0	
Week 2 (inch)	2.09	0.0	0.0	
Corn		_	_	
Leaf no.		3	5	
Height (inch)		10	13.5	
Common sunflower		4.0	0	
Leaf no.		4-6	6	
Height (inch)		2-3	6	
Infestation (m ²)		2-5	8	
Velvetleaf Leaf no.		5-6	7	
		5-6 2-4	7	
Height (inch) Infestation (m ²)		2 -4 25-29	4 50	
Annual grasses		25-29	50	
Leaf no.		1-4	3	
Height (inch)		1-3	3	
Infestation (m ²)		<1-1	<1	
Pigweed species		11 1		
Leaf no.		3-9	4	
Height (inch)		1-4	1	
Infestation (m ²)		<1-104	1	
/				

Summary comments: The amount of precipitation received this summer was far below normal, with 3 inches during April, 4.8 inches in May, 0.08 inches in June and 0.6 inches during July. The majority of annual grasses, GGGAN, were green and giant foxtail with some large crabgrass. Amaranthus species, AMASS, were primarily composed of Palmer amaranth with some waterhemp. Since precipitation was low, a second flush of weeds did not occur and efficacy was good for all the treatments. The PRE-only and PRE + POST programs, without glyphosate, did not perform as well as those with glyphosate, specifically in regards to velvetleaf and common sunflower control. On June 4th, crop injury was noted only with the two isoxaflutole treatments; however, injury symptoms disappeared quickly. Isoxaflutole (0.07 lb/a) with atrazine had 31% chlorosis, 4.5% necrosis, and 13% growth reduction. Isoxaflutole (0.047 lb/a) with S-metolachlor and atrazine resulted in 4% chlorosis, 0.4% necrosis, and 1.8% growth reduction. Results of the study are summarized in the following table. (Dept. of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln)

Table. Evaluation of glyphosate programs in corn (Abendroth, Martin, and Spotanski).

Treatment	Appli	Application				AMASS ^a		GGGAN ^b			
	Rate	Timing	6/20	7/8	6/20	7/8	6/20	7/8	6/20	7/8	
	(lb/A)					% weed	control				
Glyphosate ^c +	1.016	EDOST	00	100	00	00	100	100	100	100	
AMS ^d	1.016	EPOST	99	100	99	99	100	100	100	100	
	2.55	DDE/	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	
Acetochlor&	1.18	PRE/	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
atrazine ^e /	0.59										
glyphosate ^c +	1.016	EPOST									
AMS	2.55										
Acetochlor&	1.18	EPOST	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	
atrazine ^e +	0.59										
glyphosate ^c +	1.0156										
AMS	2.55										
S-metolachlor&CGA-154281/	1.62	PRE/	90	76	96	99	97	99	94	100	
atrazine&	0.92	EPOST									
dicamba+	0.48										
NIS ^f	0.25%										
S-metolachlor&CGA-154281&	1.56	PRE		17		97		98		92	
atrazine	2.02										
S-metolachlor&CGA-154281&	1.56	PRE/	75	95	100	100	96	97	100	100	
atrazine/	2.02										
primisulfuron&	0.027	MPOST									
CGA-152005+	0.0089										
NIS+	0.25%										
28-0-0 ⁹	2.5%										
S-metolachlor&CGA-154281&	1.56	PRE/	85	94	97	100	97	98	94	100	
atrazine/	2.015	FNE/	00	94	91	100	91	90	94	100	
dicamba&	0.27	EPOST									
		EPUSI									
San 1269H+	0.107										
NIS+	0.25%										
28-0-0	1.125%	205		400	400	400	400	400	400	400	
S-metolachlor&CGA-154281&	0.78	PRE/	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
atrazine/	1.008										
glyphosate ^h +	0.94	MPOST									
AMS	2.55										
S-metolachlor&CGA-154281&	0.78	PRE/	92	99	100	100	97	100	100	100	
atrazine/	1.008										
glyphosate ^h +	0.47	MPOST									
AMS	2.55										
Acetochlor&	1.20	PRE/	98	100	100	100	100	100	99	100	
atrazine ⁱ /	0.80										
glyphosate ^j +	1.0	MPOST									
AMS	2.55										
Dimethenamid&	0.655	PRE/	69	84	100	100	94	99	71	98	
atrazine/	0.035	· · · · · · ·	00	-	.00	100	-	00		50	
rimsulfuron&	0.012	EPOST									
nicosulfuron&	0.012	501									
atrazine+	0.72										
COC ^k +	1.67%										
28-0-0	6.67%										
		FDCCT	00	07	0.4	0.4	4	0.5	00	400	
Dicamba&	0.13	EPOST	93	97	94	94	4	95	86	100	
San 1269H&	0.052										
nicosulfuron+	0.031										
NIS+	0.25%										
28-0-0+	6.67%										

(continued)

Table. Evaluation of glyphosate programs in corn (Abendroth, Martin, and Spotanski), continued.

Treatment	Appl	ication	ABL	JTH	AMA	\SS ^a	GGC	SAN ^b	HEI	_AN
	Rate	Timing	6/20	7/8	6/20	7/8	6/20	7/8	6/20	7/8
	(lb/A)	(lb/A)% weed control								
S-metolachlor&CGA-154281&	1.56	PRE/	100	100	98	100	96	99	99	100
atrazine/	2.015									
mesotrione+	0.094	EPOST								
atrazine+	0.25									
COC+	1.0%									
28-0-0	2.5%									
Dimethenamid&	0.655	PRE/	94	99	100	100	98	100	95	100
atrazine/	0.75									
nicosulfuron&	0.023	EPOST								
rimsulfuron+	0.012									
dicamba+	0.125									
COC+	1.0%									
28-0-0	3.33%									
Acetochlor&	1.20	PRE/	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
atrazine ⁱ /	0.80									
flumetsulam&	0.035	MPOST								
clopyralid+	0.113									
glyphosate ^j +	1.0									
NIS+	0.25%									
AMS	1.9									
Acetochlor&ICIA-25788/	0.60	PRE/	98	99	100	100	100	100	99	100
flumetsulam&	0.035	MPOST								
clopyralid+	0.113									
glyphosate ^j +	1.0									
NIS+	0.25%									
AMS	1.9									
Acetochlor&ICIA-25788/	0.60	PRE/	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
glyphosate ^j +	1.0	MPOST								
AMS	1.9	WII OO I								
Glyphosate ^j +		EPOST	100	100	00	00	100	100	99	100
AMS	1.0 1.9	EPUST	100	100	99	99	100	100	99	100
Flufenacet&	0.270	PRE/	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
metribuzin/	0.270	FNE/	90	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
glyphosate ^c +		MDOST								
AMS	1.016	MPOST								
Isoxaflutole+	2.55 0.07	PRE		75		95		90		82
atrazine		FKE		10		30		90		02
atrazine S-metolachlor&CGA-154281&	1.0 1.56	PRE		84		98		97		63
atrazine+	2.02	FKE		04		90		91		03
atrazine+ isoxaflutole										
Check	0.047		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
on			J	J	J	3	5	J	3	J
LSD (P=.05)			5	5	2	4	3	3	5	4

^aAMASS= mostly Palmer amaranth, with some waterhemp

^bGGGAN= green and giant foxtail, with some large crabgrass

^cGlyphosate= Roundup UltraMAX

^dAMS= N Pa-K by Agrilliance

^eAcetochlor&atrazine = Degree Xtra

^fNIS= Preference by Agrilliance

^g28-0-0= Class by Agrilliance

^hGlyphosate= Touchdown IQ

ⁱAcetochlor&atrazine = FulTime

^jGlyphosate= Glyphomax Plus

^kCOC= Prime Oil by Agrilliance