

Herbicide performance in soybeans at Waseca, MN common ragweed site in 2005. Hoverstad, Thomas R and Jeffrey L. Gunsolus. The objective of this trial was to evaluate soybean weed management systems available to producers in southern Minnesota on several annual weed species. This site had a particularly high infestation of common ragweed. The research site was a Webster clay loam soil containing 6% organic matter with a pH of 7.0 and soil test P and K levels of 38 and 155 ppm, respectively. The previous crop was oats that had been moldboard plowed in the fall of 2004. The entire area was field cultivated once in the spring prior to herbicide application. Following preplant incorporated treatments the entire area was field cultivated twice to a depth of 3 to 4 inches to incorporate herbicides and prepare a seedbed. Garst '1827 RR/STS' soybeans were planted on May 24, 2005 in 30-inch rows. All treatments were applied with a tractor-mounted sprayer delivering 20 gpa at 40 psi using 8002 flat-fan nozzle tips. Visual estimates of weed control were taken on September 2, 2005. Application dates, environmental conditions, crop and weed stages are listed below.

Date	May 24	May 24	June 15	June 22	June 22	July 1
Treatment			Post I	Post II	Post III	Post IV
Application Stage	PPI	Pre	4-inch weeds	6-inch weeds	V2 soybean	Crop canopy
air temp °F	81	81	65	79	79	72
soil temp (4-inch)	60	62	61	65	65	70
Relative humidity (%)	26	25	72	64	64	45
Wind	SE 4	SE 3	N 8	SE 3	SE 3	W 9
Soil moisture	Moist	Moist	Moist	Wet	Wet	Moist
Soybeans						
Stage	-	-	V1	V2	V2	V4
height (inch)	-	-	3	6	6	10
Giant foxtail						
leaf no.	-	-	2	4	4	2
height (inch)	-	-	4	6	6	2
Common ragweed						
leaf no.	-	-	3	4-6	4-6	3
height (inch)	-	-	2	5	5	2
Common lambsquarters						
leaf no.	-	-	4	8	8	4
height (inch)	-	-	2	4	4	2
Redroot pigweed						
leaf no.	-	-	2	3-4	3-4	3
height (inch)	-	-	1	3	3	2
Rainfall after application (inch)						
week 1	0.74	0.74	1.00	2.55	2.55	0.35
week 2	0.37	0.37	1.67	0.35	0.35	0.00
week 3	1.76	1.76	1.23	0.00	0.00	2.27

The dominant weeds in this trial were common ragweed and giant foxtail. Lighter infestations of common lambsquarters and redroot pigweed were also evaluated. Preplant incorporated applications of pendimethalin & imazethapyr followed by postemergence cloransulam provided slightly less giant foxtail control than where preplant pendimethalin was followed by imazamox plus cloransulam. Preplant pendimethalin followed by glyphosate & imazethapyr also resulted in slightly less giant foxtail control than the majority of treatments. Flumioxazin & cloransulam followed by either cloransulam plus lactofen plus V10137 or V10139 did not provide good control of common lambsquarters. There was a trend toward lower yields where either pendimethalin or pendimethalin & imazethapyr were applied preplant. (University of Minnesota, Southern Research and Outreach Center, Waseca, MN and Dept of Agronomy and Plant Genetics, University of Minnesota, St Paul).

Table. Herbicide performance in soybeans at Waseca, MN common ragweed site in 2005 (Hoverstad and Gunsolus).

Treatment ^a	Rate (lb/A or %)	SETFA	AMBEL	CHEAL	AMARE	Yield Bu/A ^b
		-----(% control)-----				
<u>Preplant incorporate 2X/POST I (4-inch weeds)</u>						
Pend/Immx+Clsm+NIS+AMS	1.3/0.03+0.016+0.25%+2.5	99	99	99	99	49.4
[Pend&imep]/ Clsm+NIS+AMS	[0.84&0.06]/0.016+0.25%+2.5	89	99	99	98	48.6
Pend/[Glyt&imep]+NIS+AMS	1.3/[0.75&0.063]+0.125%+2.5	89	99	87	99	45.9
<u>Preemergence/ POST I (4-inch weeds)</u>						
[Flmx&clsm]/ Clsm+Lact ¹ +V10137+NIS+AMS	0.08+0.03/ 0.016+0.125+0.09+0.25%+2.5	93	99	86	99	53.4
[Flmx&clsm]/ Clsm+Lact ¹ +V10139+NIS+AMS	0.08+0.03/ 0.016+0.125+0.1+0.25%+2.5	93	99	81	99	50.5
Flms/ Clsm+Clet+Lact ² +COC+AMS	0.05/ 0.016+0.09+0.09+1%+2.5	91	99	95	99	52.4
[S-meto&metr]/ Fome+[Flfp-P&fenx]+Clms+ COC+AMS	[1.0&0.23]/ 0.235+[0.125&0.035]+0.016 1%+2.5	95	99	99	99	54.5
<u>Preemergence/ POST II (6-inch weeds)</u>						
Alac/Glyt ¹ +AMS	2/0.77+2.5	99	96	99	99	56.0
Pend+Dime-P/Glyt ¹ +AMS	0.48+0.59/0.77+2.5	98	95	99	97	56.3
[Flmx&clsm]/Glyt ² +AMS	[0.05&0.016]/+0.77+2.5	99	99	99	99	55.4
[S-meto&metr]/Glyt ³ +AMS	[0.8&0.20]/0.78+2.5	99	98	99	96	55.4
Flmx/Glyt ² +AMS	0.06/0.77+2.5	96	99	99	99	54.7
Flmx+Flms/Glyt ² +AMS	0.05+0.02/0.77+2.5	99	99	99	99	56.0
Flmx+metr/Glyt ² +AMS	0.05+0.14/0.77+2.5	99	99	99	99	56.3
<u>POST III (V2 soybean)</u>						
[S-meto&glyt]	[0.70&0.94]	97	98	99	99	56.9
<u>POST I (4-inch weeds)/POST IV(Canopy)</u>						
Glyt ¹ +AMS/Glyt ¹ +AMS	0.94+2.5 / 0.94+2.5	99	97	99	97	58.9
<u>POST II (6-inch weeds)</u>						
Glyt ⁴ +Clsm+AMS	0.75+0.016+2.5	99	99	99	98	57.0
Glyt ² +Thif+AMS	0.77+0.016+2.5	96	99	99	99	59.1
Glyt ² +Thif+Clim+AMS	0.77+0.016+0.005+2.5	98	96	99	99	55.9
Glyt ⁵ +AMS	0.75+2.5	96	97	99	98	56.9
Glyt ⁴ +AMS	0.75+2.5	96	93	99	99	57.4
Glyt ¹ +AMS	0.77+2.5	97	99	99	92	54.9
<u>Checks</u>						
Weedy	-	0	0	0	0	11.2
Hand-Weeded	-	100	100	100	100	55.0
	LSD (0.10)	4	4	9	3	4.1

^a alac= alachlor = IntRRo 4EC; Clet = clethodim = Select2EC; Clim = Chlorimuron = Classic 25DF; Clsm = cloransulam = FirstRate 84WG; Dime-P = dimethenamid-P = Outlook 6L; [Flfp-P&fenx] = [fluazifop-P & fenoxaprop] = Fusion 2.56L; Flms = flumetsulam = Python 80DF; Flmx = flumioxazin = Valor SX 51DF; [Flmx&clsm] = [Flumioxazin & cloransulam] = Gangster; Fome= fomesafen = Flexstar 1.88L; Glyt¹ = glyphosate = Roundup Weather Max; Glyt² = glyphosate = Roundup OriginalMax; Glyt³ = glyphosate = Touchdown Total; Glyt⁴ = glyphosate = Glyphomax XRT; Glyt⁵ = glyphosate = Clearout 41 Plus; [Glyt&imep] = [glyphosate & imazethapyr] = Extreme 2.17L; Immx = imazamox = Raptor 1L; Lact¹ = lactofen = Phoenix 2L; Lact² = lactofen = Cobra 2L; Pend = pendimethalin = Prowl 3.8 H2O; [Pend&imep] = [pendimethalin & imazethapyr] = Pursuit Plus 2.9L; [S-meto&metr] = [S-metolachlor & metribuzin] = Boundary 6.5L; [S-meto&glyt] = [S-metolachlor & glyphosate] = Sequence 5.25L; Thif = thifensulfuron =Harmony GT 75DF; AMS = N-Pa-K liquid ammonium sulfate; COC = crop oil concentrate, Class Additive 17%; NIS = nonionic surfactant, Class Preference.

^b Yield adjusted to 13% moisture.